Case 22-11068-JTD Doc 5947 Filed 01/18/24 Page 1 of 3

RECEIVED

02 January 2024, Switzerland

2024 JAN 18 AM 9: 29

U.S. BARE PTCY COURT

Honorable Judge John Dorsey 824 N Market St 5th Floor, Courtroom 5 Wilmington, DE 19801 302-533-3169 Honorable Judge John Dorsey 824 N Market St 5th Floor, Courtroom 5 Wilmington, DE 19801 USA

Re: OBJECTION to Motion of Debtors to estimate claims based on digital assets, Case No. 22-11068 (JTD), Hearing Date: January 25, 2024 at 10:00 a.m. (ET)

Dear Judge Dorsey

I hope this letter finds you well. I am writing to formally object to the Debtor's request for an order to estimate Claims (including Customer Entitlement Claims) based on the amounts set forth in the Digital Assets Conversion Table, because this is grossly unequitable and unfair to customer creditors who have their claims denominated in specific digital assets (rather than USD).

I am a customer creditor, with a significant sized claim of approximately USD 9100 primarily denominated in specific digital assets. This is of importance because digital asset prices have increased greatly since the time of the original bankruptcy petition. The Debtor's Motion to value customer claims at the time of the petition would unfairly prejudice a significant class of customers which have their claims denominated in specific digital assets. While this letter represents my objection to the aforementioned Motion by Debtors, having been in contact with other customer claimants with claims totalling \$500 million (at petition date prices), I know my view is not a lone objection.

1. The Motion by Debtors ignores the express terms of the FTX Terms of Service (ToS)

Clause 8.2.6 of the To expressly states as follows:

(A) Title to your Digital Assets shall at all times remain with you and shall not transfer to FTX Trading. As the owner of Digital Assets in your Account, you shall bear all risk of loss of such Digital Assets. FTX Trading shall have no liability for fluctuations in the fiat currency value of Digital Assets held in your Account.

As mentioned above, I am aware that there were certain private negotiations between claimants represented by AHC regarding this critical question of law, which were not openly heard before a court. This raises serious concerns that there is indeed a triable issue to be considered, otherwise the Debtors would not have settled the claim so swiftly and privately.

Despite spending hundreds of millions (primarily from customer funds) on legal and professional fees, the Debtors are still unable to achieve fairness for customer claimants, who should not in any circumstances be grouped into the same class as other creditors.

Due to the unique circumstances of the Debtor's business and the cryptocurrency industry (millions of "retail" customers), the profile of customer claimants tends to be scattered, making it difficult for claimants to organise themselves to present formal arguments before the court. Customer claimants have already been victimised by the actions of Sam Bankman-Fried. Please do not let us be victimised again by non-customer commercial interests or negligent professional advice guiding the Debtor's actions, just because there is no specific ad hoc committee formed to addressed these important issues.

For the reasons above, I respectfully request the court to deny the Motion, and immediately call for a determination of the critical question of law raised. I trust that you will consider these objections seriously in order to uphold fairness and integrity in the bankruptcy proceedings.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely

Kay Baur

Case 22-11068-JTD Doc 5947 Filed 01/18/24 Page 3 of 3 02.01.24 11:35

CH - 8060 Zürich P.P. Postage Paid



Stand 2 0.018 kg SWISS POST

8658



Please scan - Signature required

Veuillez scanner - Remise contre Signature

